UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,784	12/28/2005	Hans Beyrich	10191/4461	8754
²⁶⁶⁴⁶ KENYON & K	7590 03/28/2007 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY			PATEL, HARSHAD R	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855	
· ····				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	PATA	03/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/562,784	BEYRICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Harshad Patel	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. tely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
, 	·—					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) 18-35 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/28/05.	6) Other:	atont Application				
 -						

Application/Control Number: 10/562,784

Art Unit: 2855

Specification

Page 2

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 9, lines 12 and 16, numerals 33 and 39 are both referring to a removal opening. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. . The drawings are objected to because the removal opening (33) as shown in the figures is unclear. The drawings label numeral 33 to a passage that connects to the outlet 39 of the bypass passage. It seems that the removal opening is something different than what is shown. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,784

Art Unit: 2855

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 3

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 18-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 refers to a removal opening, however it is unclear as to what opening is the applicant referring to. It seems that the removal opening should be in the area of the removal zone (28) next to the horizontal lines where an arch is shown.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 18, 20 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mori et al. (5,485,746) (hereinafter Mori).

Mori teaches all the features of the instant invention including all the elements and specifically including the diversion surface (12) (Fig. 2).

6. Claims 18, 20 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lauterbach et al. (4,457,169) (hereinafter Lauterbach).

Lauterbach teaches all the features of the instant invention including all the elements and specifically including the diversion surface (21) (Fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/562,784

Art Unit: 2855

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 4

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 19, 21-29 and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori or Lauterbach in view of Tank et al. (6,401,531) (hereinafter Tank).

 Mori or Lauterbach both teach all the features of the instant invention except for the rectifier

Mori or Lauterbach both teach all the features of the instant invention except for the rectifier element and the turbulence-generating structure. Tank teaches the turbulence generating structure (Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 62 - col. 5, lines21). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a turbulence generating structure in the device of Mori or Lauterbach since such an arrangement would produce the finest possible turbulence in the vicinity of the measuring element that would provide sensitive results. It would be within the scope of the ordinary skill in the art to design a turbulence generating structure in such a way that the turbulences are minor compared to major turbulences that would generate turbulences that may deviate the measurements.

Double Patenting

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225

Art Unit: 2855

USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10. Claim 18 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 7,162,920. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent claims a device that has a sensor bypass for directing a partial flow and having a separation opening in the inlet area and a flow diversion part.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harshad Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2187. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30 AM-5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2855

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Harshad Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2855

HP 3/21/07